	Case 2:22-cv-01708-KJM-EFB Docume	nt 19 Filed 06/09/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DUANE ANGELO GITTENS,	No. 2:22-cv-01708-KJM-EFB (HC)
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	UNKNOWN,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel. He has captioned this action as a "petition	
18	[to] the court to file [an] original bill of lading." ECF No. 1 at 1. He has paid the \$5 filing fee	
19	appropriate for habeas actions. ^{1 2} Petitioner's filing, however, does not sound in habeas. As	
20	discussed below, the petition must be dismissed. See Rule 4, Rules Governing § 2254 Cases	
21	(requiring summary dismissal of habeas petition if, upon initial review by a judge, it plainly	
22	appears "that the petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court").	
23	/////	
24	1 A sivil and an law and and an arrive of	la filipa afa comulaint and comica a \$402 filipa fac
25	¹ A civil action, by contrast, requires the filing of a complaint and carries a \$402 filing fee. Fed. R. Civ. P. 3; 28 U.S.C. § 1914.	
26	² On December 9, 2022, the court recommended that this action be dismissed because	
2728	petitioner had neither paid the filing fee nor sought leave to proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 11. In light of petitioner's payment of the filing fee, the December 9, 2022 findings and recommendations are withdrawn.	

Case 2:22-cv-01708-KJM-EFB Document 19 Filed 06/09/23 Page 2 of 2

Challenges to the validity of one's confinement or the duration of one's confinement are		
properly brought in a habeas action. Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973)). A bill of		
lading, by contrast, is a written contract that "records that a carrier has received goods from the		
party that wishes to ship them, states the terms of carriage, and serves as evidence of the contract		
for carriage." Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Kirby, 543 U.S. 14, 18-19 (2004). It is not clear what relief		
petitioner seeks, if any. To the extent petitioner wishes to pursue a breach of contract claim, he		
must do so by way of a civil complaint.		
Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the December 9, 2022 findings and recommendations		
(ECF No. 11) are withdrawn.		
Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice to the		

Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a civil complaint alleging breach of contract.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: June 9, 2023.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE